March 1984

Approved For Release 2000/08/15: CIA-RDP96-00792R000701010001-8

SOME FINDINGS RELATING TO THE ELECTRONIC VOICE PHENOMENON

> Alexander MacRae Skye, Scotland

Born in Skye, Scotland, MacRae studied electronics in London, for a time under Sir Geoffrey Housfield, FRS, joint Nobel laureate. Working at Palo Alto, he designed communications systems for NASA - one design being used as recently as the first Space Shuttle. He currently lectures in Scotland on Information Technology, and is a director of a small electronics company. His studies of "paranormal" voices on tapes represent a scientific approach to one of most controversial phenomena of our time. - Ed.

Introduction

This paper relates some recent findings in EVP by the author, and suggests that the Electronic Voice Phenomenon (or paranormal voices) is a psi phenomenon which - with current equipment - can be produced frequently and predictably by anyone trained in its use. Because of the availability of results it has been possible to come to some preliminary conclusions, and these are the subject of this paper.

EVP - the electronic voice phenomenon - first came to my attention in 1979, and having read about it, I decided to try some experiments - but without any noticeable success. In 1982 I got in touch with some of the few remaining EVP investigators left in the UK.

The "field" seemed to proceed in the most unscientific manner, nothing was ever measured, although the words "research" and "expert" were bandied around like tokens in a game of "let's play scientists." Instead of measurement, judgment by recourse to reputation was the rule, indeed "reputation" was the name of the game, it seems. There was a sort of subjective scale for rating the "quality" of utterances, although it is doubtful if it was actually quality in the Hi-Fi senses of bandwidth, and absence of distortion, that was being assessed - instead there was a vague idea of signal/noise ration involved.

One of my first suggestions was to try to assess the probability of recording an utterance in a given time period, from which one could then derive a measure for the quantity of "communication" being produced, by which various systems - then being adjudicated, sometimes quite viciously, by reference to reputation - could be objectively evaluated. I suggested also that simply establishing that there was a correlation between a stimulus (a question from the experimenter) and a presumed response, was sufficient evidence - if replicable - of a phenomenon. But all this fell on deaf ears.

I virtually abandoned the whole thing, but one factor kept nagging me. I had observed that almost all EVP utterances had a duration of between 0.7 and 2.2 seconds, with a pronounced probability peak around 1.75 secs.

Now this was against nature. If the phenomenon was purely a random event, a chance recording of bits of telephone conversations, radio plays, or the like - then the spread of durations for the utterances should show this randomness. There is no good reason why an utterance should lie in this slot around 1.75 secs. Various time constants were considered - but none of them could provide a plausible explanation for all circumstances.

It seemed to be a definite phenomenon, extending even to longer statements, which might consist of one 1.75 sec. segment, then a pause, finally another 1.75 sec. segment. When all looked black, I clung to this one little bit of objective truth, ignored by the "experts."

In early 1983 I started producing my own EVP, using a piece of equipment designed for another purpose. The 'quality" was very poor, but results were being obtained predictably. At first I could not believe it and felt sure it was CB breakthrough, or ship-to-shore radio, or somesuch. But these were gradually eliminated. The first voice recorded used a characteristic phrase of my late father - a factor suspicious enough to make me very careful of my own

unjustifiable approximations. judgment, in case desire for a result should lead

the EVP field, I ignored what was salu and simply the number of voice-like utterances per unit time.

The number of voice-like utterances per unit time.

Olinitially this was running at 0.05 utterances per minute

O(U/M). Within a few weeks it had improved by a factor of

O(U/M). Within a few weeks it had improved by a factor of

O(U/M), and with that the next surprising development occurred.

This was a direct response. One afternoon I was about to

O(U/M) and who I was, and began with the rhetorical question,

O(U/M) are obtained, on one occasion I found I was the responses. Following my own rule, which I had tried to convey to I ignored what was said and simply counted

Voice: "Say again" "This is the voice of Cass Evitt"

"Who?" "This is Sugar Roll's voice"

Voice:

"Sugar Roll's - woice" "What is that" (Later)

Voice:

Мe:

"It is a - (thinking desperately for a suitable term) - a 'Voice-Radio'." "How can I communicate to you better?" (Later)

Voice: "Just talk."

2000/08/15

Approved F This was also the most prolonged sequence obtained. By this that I was not the only person able to use the equipment, demand for units, and an extensive trials program to prove time my own research was brought to a halt. A growing of the one-man, underfunded research efforts. did not have sufficient time to conduct research - hazards meant that all my units were out in the field, and anyway I the following preliminary findings are offered. Nevertheless

A General Phenomenon.

other times, using the equipment, are at least as good as, and sometimes better than, my own-The results obtained by others, in other locations, at

Results not due to kadio Broadcasts:

time slot - an improbable event. Utterances generally fall into the 1-2 seconds

unlikely if these were random snatches of the like. A large percentage of utterances contain names

2.3. A large percentage of utterances contain names -%

If these were random snatches of radio plays and
O

A significant percentage of utterances contain on the number of responses is in some way propor the number of stimuli (questions, requests from one of the number of stimuli (questions, requests from one of the number of stimuli (questions).

one's own name. tional to the number of stimuli (questions, requests

the experimenter).

2.5. Different experimenters at different times and places pick up, on occasion, identical phrases.

2.6. Some utterances use a non-standard form of 2.6. Some utterances use a sont of "slick-talk," or slang, that creeps in the creeps

now and then.

2.7. In some utterances, unmaturally prolonged vowers sounds occur - with a greater frequency than might be expected if radio plays were their source.

natural. Synthetic voicing occurs too frequently to be A percentage of utterances consist of relevant

comment, or direct response. 2.10. Words such as "voice" and "message" occur wit 2.10. Imal frequency.

3. Speech Formats generally are of a non-Glottal TypeO
Speech forms which have been recorded include the content.

abnormal frequency.

following:

(a)

wing:
Natural voiced speech — infrequent.
Synthetic voiced speech — more frequent — this break of the county of th down into two categories,

A type in which the synthetic voicing is relative ly periodic, giving the "robot-like" of

"Mechanical" sound of present day computer speech A type in which the synthetic voicing is relative ly random, but within frequency constraints, give a sound much like hoarse whispering, with the random low frequency perturbations giving these utterances an unwarranted sinister aspect.

1 .

-4

* 1

သူ

match 1004

Anormal broadcasting studio, radio room, or vehicular O broadcasts are made from an acoustically "dead" environment. Approved For Release 2000/08/15

what is significant is that apart from the relatively
the possession of vocal cords. The afficianados of the
the possession of vocal cords. The afficianados of the
the play" and "CB breakthrough" schools might care to
pradio play" and "CB breakthrough" schools might care to
explain why almost everybody coming through on our equipment
explain why almost everybody coming through on our equipment
explain who almost their vocal cords!

The following effects depend upon one factor: an echo;
echoiness, reverberations, acoustic liveliness, choral
effects. The factor in question is time delay. <u>@</u>@ Whistle speech - most frequent of all, this type fractional compared with the normal deviations. whistle (both in terms of frequency and amplitude) is requires skilled listening, as the modulation of the Whisper speech - fairly frequent.

9 4.1. A significant proportion of the are effects, indeed there are perhibit one or more of the above effects, indeed there are examples of changing acoustics - e.g. reverberation - during an utterance - a rather improbable event. Furthermore, A significant proportion of the utterances

Evidence of Intelligence

principally because of the quite exaggerated dependence of earlier researchers on the significance of what was said, I have decided to concentrate, rather, on measurable factors. theory (after Shannon et al.) states intelligence, has been to look for evidence of communica-My main effort, therefore, in looking for evidence of of its being correctly received. predictable a message becomes, the greater the probability of its being correctly received. There are many ways in tions theory being applied. Because of the low quality of the voices, and In particular, communications that the more

which this can be applied. same time each day, the U/M is found to progressively 5.1. By sticking to a regular schedule, same place,

increase. Evidence of "redundancy" being applied.

(a) Undue prolongation of vowel sounds sort of thing one does when hailing against the is sometimes found

-

40

pattéru recognition means a better chance), wind, or across a valley, which gives the listemer's

Repeated messages. repetitions of one simple phrase. The best example was 10 successive

9

synthetic voicing, suggesting - but not necessarily implying The rather too regular periodicity of some

stimulus and the reception of a response. - the use of technology. The time correlation between the initiation of

almost complete silence, that the change in minus dbs "signals" of this type, implying an appreciation of the "How can I improve this equipment?" produce negative itself a signal of noticeable magnitude! no response, but such a diminution of background noise, to question, and thus, intelligence. The fact that certain questions produce not just Such questions as 92R0007

Evidence of Integrity

system defects or our own ignorance of the rules involved.

System defects or our own ignorance of the rules involved.

7. Evidence of Purpose

7.1. This, at one level, may be thought of as the 7.1. used, does tend to indicate a degree of integrity. occasion, the same names for the correspondents have been $oldsymbol{\underline{4}}$ experimenters can pick up identical phrases; and that on ${f q}$ whole, as in structural integrity. that, the probability of being able to access the same Integrity is here used in the sense of comprising and The fact that different AgainstO

with some purpose. there seems to be a considerable mutual purpose is as yet evident. It should be e conflict, and no single d

Approve mentioned, however, that

March 1984

Other Rules

Observer, compared with line positions of a dense Oextending from the audio to radio frequency range.

O 8.2. Evidence was gradually accumulated in **c**measure of the dielectric constant in the vicinity of the compared with line positions of a dense spectrum the positioning of the lines being related to a The system itself consists of a radio frequency

Ortheory than our late 20th Century communications theory.

OThe communications theory of Shannon et al., may, by Rcomparison, be rather like, "A handyman's short guide to Practical communications," admirable in its attention to details and instructions for using tools (mathematical), but 6 would be exemplifications of those more general laws, yet 9 undiscovered. But one of those laws is just coming into Dfocus, and it would seem that Sheldrake's Morphogenetic Laws R would actually be communications laws, although he has Ohardly a full treatment. The rules of Shannon and others Atended to concentrate in just one area of implementation. Othat rules of as yet unknown communications theory may Evidence was gradually accumulated indicating

Implications

200 9.2. 200 pequipment 9.2. frequent and predictable observation, That EVP, as a psi phenomenon, using the right is available for

recognized by science. That "there is something there," as yet un-

may appreciably alter our world-view. That communication rules exist which, when known

Additional Comments

Approved For Release

and an explanation of operating principles of the enable interested readers to attempt to replicate detailed description of his equipment which would wrote him, asking for a circuit diagram and a After receiving Alexander MacRae's article we present below. device the findings. We received the diagram (Fig. 1) (called by MacRae MKl Alpha) which we

> changes in potential difference. any reasonable limits. But what we are really interested in to the non inverting input of an operational amplifier which Galvanic Skin Response or skin resistance. is not so much the potential difference across the hand but has 100% DC feedback so that it is always conductive within the potential difference between the electrodes is applied here is that current is applied to the skin of the hand and There are two main inputs. One is a monitor of What happens 1010001-8

second PLL is about 5 KHz range. of the first PLL is proportional to the standing level and which from time to time are superimposed long term blips, is which is about the Glottal frequency and the output of the in standing level. to the VCU input of another PLL so that the output frequency phase-locked loop (PLL) and it is then capacitively coupled taken to the voltage control oscillator (VCO) input of a variable according to the setting of another control. the output of the second PLL is proportional to the change I am talking about low frequency perturbations or broad That operational amplifier also has an AC gain which is That output, which consists of a standing level on The first PLL is set at about 100 Hz Ву АС

goes low. The pullup resistor goes directly to VCC. connected to, for instance, output III - then that output the input is taken to ground so every time the input gets which output pin of the Data Selector Chip is connected to of the three inputs of a 1 of 8 Data Selector Chips. term blips on the output of the operational amplifier. goes instead to the full wave rectified version of the long the other output, let's call it 110, the pullup resistor the input. depending on the combination you select, that determines third selector input is taken high. Those two outputs from the PLL's are then taken to two Both output pins on that chip are taken high and So what happens is, Now on

of one and the inverting input of the other. amplifier is capacitively coupled to the non-inverting input setting at ground. tively coupled output is taken also to two comparators. Both comparators are biased such that their outputs are - that was capacitively coupled to one PLL. That capaci-Getting back to the output of the operational amplifier The output from the operational This means

42

March 1984

which join together to drive a tri-color LED. output of the other comparator will go high. that when the signal is a positive going blip one comparator outputs then feed into the bases of two emitter followers Now, the full wave rectified version of this is picked Those two

off via two diodes which are commoned together and that of 8 Data Selector. So what is happening is that the two Now you can plug a coil into either of those outputs and through a pullup resistor goes back to the output of the l beside a radio. Tune to, for example, 260 KHz. take that coil up to a radio, or simply place the unit followers and each emitter follower goes to a socket output. relevant outputs of the Data Selector go to emitter

one then you won't hear anything until a blip comes along at that output goes to VCC. If you plug it into the other get is a continuous tone indication of what is happening to voltage appearing on that other pullup resistor and so for a the two PLL's. It is continuous because the pullup resistor you can get momentary tones indicating that something has case you get continuous tones - or, if you don't want those, moment you will get the tones coming through. which gets full-wave rectified and you get a positive If you plug the coil into the "D" output what you will So in one

changed. selection diodes. then you ran the 555 at one rate and if it was negative you 555 to turn it on momentarily and if the blip was positive duplicating what shows up in the LED. earlier system is now dispensed with. was, shall we say, three low frequency pulses which sounded ran the like three raps and in the other case you got one rap. In the Mark One version the blip was further fed to a 555 at another rate. So what you've got for a positive blip This was done through It's really just None of that is

Approved For Release 2000/08/15: CIA

different frequencies. The frequencies of the PLL are set input is actually in series with the timing capacitor on the whichever you prefer, and you plug it in now and this C monitoring Galvanic Skin Response or body capacitance, really the interesting part. Now, you unplug from the R input and you are still not just by the resistors, but by the capacitor on each one. Remember I mentioned that the PLL's were running at

> its down in the Kilo-Hertz range, it has a set of fixed harmonics at, let's say, 5 KHz Spacing all the way up into the 3 MHz range. So that's what's happening in the Alpha. As to the exact means, whereby "EVP" enters the system. research must continue. My original premise was that a The other frequency from the other PLL is fixed and because like, and this swoops all over the place and varies a lot. KHz range which is proportional to body capacitance, if you So what you now get is a frequency in the 100

variation in either permeability (**) or permittivity (**) 70 by altering the "electrical space" - could accomplish phase or frequency modulation. Interestingly, the article in the December 1983 issue of Psi Research, "The Physical Fields of Research of Rese permittivity on the bases of UHF radiation. Indeed, the first phenomenon noted on the Alpha was not the EVP but Biological Systems, mentions remote monitoring of Alpha (as using narrow-band radio pick-up the noise power is heart rate by monitoring change in skin surface charge, but pulse-rate. considerably reduced). have to use a Faraday cage — equipment not needed with the The USSR researchers have remotely monitored

Where Do We Go from Here?

(Concluding Editorial Remarks)

whistle and something barely audible which (with a certaind degree of imagination) can be interpreted as words thowever, we still believe that the field is worthy of inquiry. If, through continuing research, we do not obtain the continuing research. a method for recording "voices from beyond," but rather a reliable method for monitoring force fields of biologicalO systems without using a Faraday cage, or even evidence that the process of concentration on "white noise" enhances ESP, the endeavor seems to be worthwhile.

SKYETECH
7-2 Industrial Estate We asked Alexander MacRae to send us a sample EVP tapeD We received it but were not greatly impressed: much noise a method for recording "Voices from beyond," but rather

Portree, Skye, Scotland IV51 9HI 7-2 Industrial Estate